MACROCYCLIC DITERPENE ESTERS OF THE CYTOTOXIC FRACTION FROM EUPHORBIA KAMERUNICA

KIO ABO and FRED J. EVANS

Department of Pharmacognosy, The School of Pharmacy, University of London, 29 39, Brunswick Square, London WC1N1AX, U.K.

(Revised received 12 March 1981)

Key Word Index Euphorbia kamerunica; Euphorbiaceae; ingol esters; macrocyclic diterpenes.

Abstract—The ether-soluble resin of the latex of *Euphorbia kamerunica* was separated into a non-polar and a polar fraction by gradient elution column chromatography. From the non-polar fraction three macrocyclic diterpene esters were isolated by adsorption and partition preparative TLC methods. These compounds were identified as 3,7.8-triacetyl-ingol-12-tigliate, 3,7-diacetyl-ingol-12-tigliate and ingol-tetra-acetate by means of spectroscopic data, together with hydrolysis and partial synthesis.

INTRODUCTION

Plants of the genus *Euphorbia* produce ingenol and phorbol esters, and these compounds are well known for both their acute and chronic toxic effects including proinflammatory and tumour-promoting activities [1]. A microchemical screen of several sections of the genus [2] has shown that ingenol is the most commonly occurring diterpene of these species. The genus *Euphorbia* also produces macrocyclic diterpenes [3,4] and it has been suggested that these substances are the immediate biosynthetic precursors of the phorbol and ingenol derivatives [5]. The genus has been less well investigated for macrocyclic diterpenes because these substances do not possess the toxic activities of the tetracyclic class of compounds.

During routine testing of column fractions from *E. kamerunica* it was found that the non-polar fractions which have no pro-inflammatory activity, demonstrated pronounced cytotoxic activity *in vitro* against mouse TLX/5 lymphoma cells. This communication describes the isolation of three macrocyclic esters based upon the diterpene ingol from this fraction.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Spectroscopic analysis demonstrated that the non-polar fractions contained tetra- and triesters of the macrocyclic diterpene ingol (Fig. 1). These esters were converted to the polyol **6** by means of base-catalysed hydrolysis from which the stable tetra-acetate **3** was obtained by synthesis. In the ¹H NMR spectrum of **3**, signals for four protons adjacent to acetate groups were exhibited. Assignments for these signals were facilitated by decoupling experiments. Irradiation of the signal at 2.9 ppm (1 H-11) caused the double doublet at 4.87 ppm to collapse to a sharp doublet (J = 11 Hz) and the doublet at 1.06 ppm to collapse to a sharp singlet, thereby confirming these signals as the 1 H-12 and 3 H-18, respectively. Furthermore, irradiation of the signal at 5.1 ppm (1 H-7) induced the double doublet at 4.57 ppm

to collapse to a doublet (J = 10.7 Hz) indicating that this signal was due to the 1 H-8. Finally, irradiation of the signal at 2.48 ppm (1 H-2) caused the doublets at 5.33 and 0.97 ppm to collapse to sharp singlets thereby confirming the signal at 5.34 ppm as the 1 H-3 and the signal at 0.973 ppm as the 3 H-19. Although the mass and ¹H NMR spectra of 1 and 2 indicated the nature of the substituent ester functions no information was obtained about the positions of acylation. Assignments of the position of acylation in 1 and 2 were obtained by means of hydrolysis and trans-esterification reactions. In the ¹H NMR spectra of the isolated hydrolysis products there was a shift in the 1 H signals for the protons adjacent to a free OH group as compared to the signals of protons adjacent to an ester function. Compound 1 was accordingly confirmed as the new ingol ester 3,7,8-triacetyl-ingol-12-tigliate, 2, as 3,7diacetyl-ingol-12-tigliate, a new positional isomer of a compound previously obtained from a *Euphorbia* species [6] and 3 as ingol-tetra-acetate which has been obtained by partial synthesis from the polyol [3] but was not previously known as a natural product from plant sources.

EXPERIMENTAL

The latex of E. kamerunica was collected into an equal vol. of MeOH. Latex was obtained from plants growing near Vom in Nigeria.

Extraction. MeOH preserved latex (500 ml) was evapd to dryness below 45°. The residue was exhaustively extracted with Me₂CO at room temp, for 1 week. After evaporation of the Me₂CO the residue was dissolved in MeOH $^{\circ}$ H₂O (2:3) and the lipids and steroids removed by partition with hexane. The aqphase was re-extracted with Et₂O and the Et₂O-soluble resin tested for biological activity using established methods [6, 7]. Resin (7g) was separated by CC on 100 g of activated Florosil, eluting in the gradient, hexane $^{\circ}$ C₆H₆ (2:3, 100 ml), $^{\circ}$ C₆H₆ (2:00 ml), $^{\circ}$ C₆H₆ EtOAc (9:1, 100 ml), $^{\circ}$ C₆H₆ EtOAc (1:1, 100 ml), $^{\circ}$ C₆H₆ EtOAc (1:3, 100 ml), $^{\circ}$ C₆H₆ EtOAc (1:3, 100 ml), $^{\circ}$ C₆H₆ EtOAc (1:3, 100 ml).

$$O_{19}Me \xrightarrow{O_{11}O_{11}O_{12}O_{13}O_{14}} Me^{17} Me^{19} Me^{19} O_{11}O_{12}O_{14}O_{14}O_{14}O_{14}O_{14}O_{14}O_{15}O_{14}O_{15}O_{$$

R ¹	\mathbb{R}^2	\mathbb{R}^3	R ⁴
CO · Me	CO · Me	CO · Me	$CO \cdot C(Me) \cdot CH \cdot Me$
CO · Me	$CO \cdot Me$	Н	$CO \cdot C(Me) \cdot CH \cdot Me$
CO · Me	CO · Me	CO · Me	COMe
Н	$CO \cdot Me$	CO · Me	$CO \cdot C(Me) \cdot CH \cdot Me$
Н	Н	CO · Me	$CO \cdot C(Me) \cdot CH \cdot Me$
Н	Н	Н	Н
	CO·Me CO·Me CO·Me H	CO · Me H CO · Me H H	CO·Me CO·Me CO·Me CO·Me CO·Me H CO·Me CO·Me CO·Me H CO·Me CO·Me H H CO·Me

Fig. 1. The ingol esters of Euphorbia kamerunica.

 C_6H_6 -EtOAc (1:6, 100 ml), C_6H_6 -EtOAc (1:9, 100 ml). EtOAc (300 ml). Fractions (10 ml) were collected and monitored by TLC and biological tests. The C_6H_6 -EtOAc (9:1) fractions contained ingol esters and these were bulked for further purification.

TLC purification. The column residue (350 mg) was separated by adsorption TLC on Si gel GF_{254} 500 μ m layers using hexane-Et₂O-C₆H₆ (1:2:1). Three zones were removed from the plates of R_f value, 0.14, 0.29 and 0.41. The Me₂CO-CHCl₃ (1:1) eluate from the three zones were separately purified by partition TLC using kieselguhr G 500 μ m layers coated with 15% dipropylene glycol and using heptane-C₆H₆ (7:3) as solvent.

3,7,8-Triacetyl-ingol-12-tigliate, 1, yield 200 mg, R_f 0.72 in the system above, exhibited the following spectral data: IR, v_{max} 2930, 1740, 1720, 1650, 1440, 1375 and 1230 cm⁻¹; UV, $\lambda_{\text{mex}}^{\text{MeOH}}$ (log ε) nm 208 (4.23), 226 (4.15); EIMS (250°, 70 eV) m/z (rel. intensity): 574 (M⁺⁺, 4.5, C₃₁O₁₀H₄₂), 515 (2), 475 (1), 432 (2), 372 (1), 355 (1), 330 (2), 312 (1.5), 294 (1), 245 (4), 109 (8), 101 (2), 99 (3), 83 (100), 55 (55), 43 (99); 1 H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS), δ 6.89 (1 H, q, J = 7 Hz, tigliate), 5.58 (1 H, s, H-5), 5.24 (1 H, d, H-5) $J = 8.46 \,\mathrm{Hz}, \,\mathrm{H}\text{--}3), \,5.23 \,(1 \,\mathrm{H}, \,d, \,J = 1.84 \,\mathrm{Hz}, \,\mathrm{H}\text{--}7), \,4.88 \,(1 \,\mathrm{H}, \,dd, \,\mathrm{H})$ J = 11.03, 4.04 Hz, H-12), 4.60 (1 H, dd, J = 10.3, 1.84 Hz, H-8),2.95 (1 H, q, J = 4.04 Hz, H-11), 2.79 (1 H, dd, J = 15.08, 9.19 Hz,H-1), 2.50 (1 H, q, J = 15.81, 8.27 Hz, H-2), 2.02, 2.08, 2.11, 2.13, $(12 \text{ H}, 3 \times \text{CH}_3\text{CO}, 3 \text{ H}-20), 1.86 (6 \text{ H}, m, \text{tigliate}), 1.69 (1 \text{ H}, d, d)$ $J = 15.08 \,\mathrm{Hz}, \,\mathrm{H}\text{--}1), \,1.31-1.22 \,(2 \,\mathrm{H}, \,dd, \,\mathrm{H}\text{--}13, \,\mathrm{H}\text{--}14), \,1.11, \,0.86$ (6 H, 3 H-16, 3 H-17), 1.08 (3 H, d, J = 6.99 Hz, 3 H-18), 0.93 (3 H, d, J = 6.99 Hz, 3 H-18)d, J = 7.35 Hz, 3H-18) ppm.

3,7-Diacetyl-ingol-12-tigliate, 2, yield 80 mg, R_f value 0.28, in the partition system. The following spectral data were recorded: IR γ_{max} (KBr), 3430, 2920, 1730, 1710, 1650, 1450, 1370, 1240 cm⁻¹; UV $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{MeOH}}$ nm (log ε), 209 (4.48), 224 (4.20); MS, m/z(rel. intensity): 532 (M⁺⁺, 1, C₂₉O₉H₄₀), 472 (3), 390 (1), 330 (3), 312 (2), 245 (3), 211 (5), 165 (5), 137 (5), 109 (11), 83 (100), 69 (8), 55 (61), 43 (58); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 6.89 (1 H, q, J = 6.98 Hz, tigliate), 5.54 (1 H, s, H-5), 5.27 (1 H, d, J = 8.46 Hz, H-3), 5.10 (1 H, d, J = 1.84 Hz, H-7), 4.88 (1 H, dd, J = 11.03, 4.05 Hz, H-12), 3.54 (1 H, dd, J = 9.93, 1.84 Hz, H-8), 3.56 (1 H, dd, J = 9.93, 1.84 Hz, H-8), 3.5exchangeable with D_2O), 2.95 (1 H, q, J = 4.04 Hz, H-11), 2.79 (1 H, dd, J = 14.89, 9.19 Hz, H-1), 2.48 (1 H, q, J = 15.81, 8.27 Hz,H-2), 2.12, 2.08, 2.08 (9 H, $2 \times CH_3CO$, 3 H-20), 1.86 (6 H, m, tigliate), 1.69 (1 H, d, J = 15.08 Hz, H-1), 1.26–1.10 (2 H, dd, H-13, H-14), 1.14, 1.09 (6 H, 3 H-16, 3 H-17), 1.05 (3 H, d, $J = 7.35 \,\text{Hz}$, 3 H-18), 0.94 (3 H, d, $J = 7.35 \,\text{Hz}$, 3 H-19) ppm.

Ingol-3,7,8,12-tetra-acetate, 3, yield 40 mg, R_f value 0.53 in the partition system. 3 exhibited the following spectral data: IR, $\nu_{\rm max}$ (KBr), 2910, 1735, 1700, 1650, 1365, 1230 cm⁻¹; UV, $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm MeOH}$ nm (log ε) 212 (3.41), 226 (3.15): MS. m/z (rel. intensity): 534 (M⁺⁺,

14, C₂₈H₃₈O₁₀), 475 (6), 432 (4), 372 (4), 312 (5), 294 (5), 245 (5), 181 (11), 165 (13), 139 (14), 109 (18), 83 (13), 69 (20), 55 (16), 43 (100); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 5.99 (1 H, s, H-5), 5.33 (1 H, d, J = 8.46 Hz, H-3), 5.10 (1 H, d, J = 1.47 Hz, H-7), 4.87 (1 H, dd, J = 11.03, 3.68 Hz, H-12), 4.57 (1 H, dd, J = 10.66, 1.84 Hz, H-8), 2.92 (1 H, g, J = 3.68 Hz, H-11), 2.79 (1 H, dd, J = 15.08, 9.19 Hz, H-1), 2.48 (1 H, g, J = 8.09, 15.81 Hz, H-2), 2.15, 2.11, 2.09, 2.10, 2.03 (15 H, 4 × CH₃CO, 3 H-20), 1.70 (1 H, d, J = 15.08 Hz, H-1), 1.24–1.32 (2 H, dd, H-13, H-14), 1.11 and 0.85 (6 H, 3 H-16, 3 H-17), 1.06 (3 H, d, J = 7.35 Hz, 3 H-18), 0.97 (3 H, d, J = 7.35 Hz, 3 H-19) ppm.

Base-catalysed hydrolysis of 1.1 (40 mg) was hydrolysed with 0.1 M KOH in MeOH at room temp. for 45 min. Four hydrolysis products were isolated from the reaction mixture by means of TLC on Si gel G F_{254} as absorbent and hexane– Et_2O -EtOAc (1:1:1) as solvent.

8,7-Diacetyl-ingol-12-tigliate, **4** (R_f 0.41), MS, m/z (rel. intensity): 532 (M + , 4, C₂₉O₉H₄₀), 472 (1), 372 (0.5), 330 (1), 312 (2), 245 (2), 221 (1), 205 (2), 181 (3), 165 (6), 137 (6), 111 (14), 100 (8), 83 (100), 59 (6), 55 (48), 43 (50); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 6.89 (1 H, q, J = 6.98 Hz, tigliate), 5.66 (1 H, s, H-5), 5.37 (1 H, d, J = 1.84 Hz, H-7), 4.88 (1 H, dd, J = 11.03, 4.05 Hz, H-12), 4.60 (1 H, dd, J = 10.30, 1.84 Hz, H-8), 4.29 (1 H, d, J = 10.27 Hz, H-3), 2.95 (1 H, q, J = 4.04 Hz, H-11), 2.79 (1 H, dd, J = 14.89. 9.19 Hz, H-1), 2.40 (1 H, q, J = 15.81, 8.27 Hz, H-2), 2.13, 2.11, 2.01 (9 H, 2 × CH₃CO, 3 H-20), 1.86 (6 H, m, tigliate), 1.66 (1 H, d, d, d = 14.89 Hz, H-1), 1.67 (1 H, exchangeable with D₂O), 1.31–1.22 (2 H, dd, H-13, H-14), 1.11, 0.86 (6 H, 3 H-16, 3 H-17), 1.08 (3 H, d, d) = 6.99 Hz, 3 H-18, 0.93 (3 H, d, d) = 7.35 Hz, 3 H-19) ppm.

The reaction product of R_f 0.34 was identified as 3,7-diacetylingol-12-tigliate **2** (TLC, MS, 1 H NMR).

8-Acetyl-ingol-12-tigliate, 5, R_f 0.14, MS, m/z (rel. intensity): 490 (M⁺⁺, 3, C₂₇H₂₈O₈), 472 (2), 430 (2), 391 (5), 330 (4), 245 (5), 165 (19), 138 (20), 109 (30), 83 (100), 69 (22), 55 (100), 43 (100); ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 6.90 (1 H, q, J = 6.98 Hz, tigliate), 5.89 (1 H, s, H-5), 4.88 (1 H, dd, J = 11.03, 4.05 Hz, H-12), 4.60 (1 H, dd, J = 10.30, 1.84 Hz, H--8), 4.30 (1 H, d, J = 1.84 Hz, H--7),4.29 (1 H, d, J = 10.27 Hz, H-3), 2.95 (1 H, q, J = 15.81, 8.27 Hz,H-2), 2.79 (1 H, dd, J = 14.89, 9.19 Hz, H-1), 2.40 (1 H, q, $J = 15.81, 8.27 \text{ Hz}, \text{ H-2}), 2.12, 2.11 (6 \text{ H}, \text{CH}_3\text{CO}, 3\text{H-20}), 1.86$ $(6 \text{ H}, m, \text{ tigliate}), 1.67 (1 \text{ H}, \text{ exchangeable with } D_2\text{O}), 1.66 (1 \text{ H}, d, d)$ $J = 14.89 \,\mathrm{Hz}, \,\mathrm{H}\text{--}1), \,1.31\text{--}1.22 \,(2 \,\mathrm{H}, \,dd, \,\mathrm{H}\text{--}13, \,\mathrm{H}\text{--}14), \,1.11, \,0.86$ (6 H. 3 H-16, 3 H-17), 1.08 (3 H. d, J = 6.99 Hz. 3 H-18), 0.93 (3 H.d, J = 7.35 Hz, 3 H-19) ppm. The fourth reaction product was a TLC base-line compound 6 which was converted to its acetate by reaction in Ac₂O-pyridine (2:1). This product was identical to ingol-tetra-acetate 3 (TLC, MS, 1H NMR.

Transesterification of 2. 2 (30 mg) was reacted in 0.05 M KOMe in dry MeOH for 35 min. Two compounds were isolated from the reaction mixture by TLC as before. The compound R_f value 0.14 was identical to 5 (TLC, MS, ¹H NMR), whilst the base-line compound 6 after acetylation was found to be ingol-tetra-acetate 3 (TLC, MS, ¹H NMR).

Acknowledgement -F.J.E. is indebted to the Central Research Fund of the University of London for a travel grant for the collection of plant latex.

REFERENCES

- 1. Evans, F. J. and Soper, C. J. (1978) Lloydia 41, 193.
- Evans, F. J. and Kinghorn, A. D. (1977) Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 74, 23.
- Opferkuch, H. J. and Hecker, E. (1973) Tetrahedron Letters 3611.

- 4. Hergenhahn, M., Kusumoto, S. and Hecker, E. (1974) Experientia 30, 1438.
- Ghisalberti, E. L., Jefferies, P. R., Payne, T. G. and Worth, G. K. (1973) Tetrahedron 29, 403.
- 6. Upadhyay, R. R. and Hecker, E. (1975) Phytochemistry 14, 2514.